

Multivalued Fuzzy Mappings and Fixed-Point Results

Ramesh S Damor¹, Ruchi Singh²

¹L. E. College, Morbi, Gujarat, India.

²Madhya Pradesh Institute of Hospitality, Travel & Tourism Studies, Bhopal, India

Abstract - Here, we have established α -fuzzy fixed point and common α -fuzzy fixed-point theorems for multi-valued fuzzy mapping for complete b-metric space with is an extension and generalization of the results of A. Shahzad with new rational contractive conditions.

Keywords: Multi-valued Mapping (MVM), b-metric space, fixed point, Common fixed point.

I. INTRODUCTION

Fixed point theory has a vital role in development of various field with its applications on Computer Science, Physical Science, Medical Science, Applied Science and areas. In the present scenario with the concept fixed point theory fuzzy locking system, fuzzy machine was been develop. In 1922, Banach [1] prove fixed point theorem for contractive mapping in complete metric space. In 1969, Zadeh [2] introduced the concept of fuzzy set then many author obtain fixed point results for fuzzy mapping. In 1981, Heilpern [4] prove some fixed-point results for contraction mapping. In 1993, The idea of b- metric space Czerwik[5] define and prove fixed point theorem with b-metric space and generalized usual metric space. Afterward many researchers prove many fixed-point theorems for fuzzy contraction mapping using b-metric space. In 2009, Boriceanu[7], In 2016, Joseph[11], In 2017 Jinakul[13], Shahzad[12] prove fixed point and common fixed point results for multi-valued mapping in b- metric space. In this paper, we are establishing α -fuzzy fixed point and common α -fuzzy fixed-point theorems for multi-valued fuzzy mapping in complete b-metric space and extending and generalizing the result of A. Shahzad [12] with new rational inequality and we are given for support of them some examples were quoted.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1[1] Let X and Y be a non empty sets. T is said to be Multi-valued mapping from X to Y if T is a Function for X to the Power set Y. we denote a Multi-valued map by $T: X \rightarrow 2^Y$

Definition 2.2[1] A fixed point $x_0 \in X$ is said to be fixed point of the Multi-valued mapping T if $x_0 \in Tx_0$.

Example:[4] Every single valued mapping can be Multi-valued mapping. let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be single valued mapping define $T: X \rightarrow 2^Y$ by $Tx = \{f(x)\}$. Note that T is a Multi-valued mapping iff for each $x \in X, Tx \subseteq Y$. Unless otherwise stated we always assume Tx is non empty for each $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.3[13] Let (X, d) be a metric space . A map $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is called contraction if there exist $0 \leq \rho < 1$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \rho d(x, y) \text{ for } x, y \in X$$

Definition 2.4[1] Let (X, d) be a metric space . A map $T: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is called Multi-valued contraction if there exist $0 \leq \rho < 1$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \rho d(x, y) \text{ for } x, y \in X$$

Definition 2.4[6] Let X be any non empty set and $b \geq 1$ be any real number. A function $d: X \times X \rightarrow R^+$ is called b-metric if its satisfy the following conditions for all $x, y \in X$

1. $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
3. $d(x, z) \leq b[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$

Then the pair (X, d) is called b-metric space.

Definition 2.4[9] Let (X, d) be a b-metric space. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called Cauchy sequence if and only if for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n(\epsilon) \in N$ such that for each $m, n \geq n(\epsilon)$ we have $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$.

Definition 2.4[6] Let (X, d) be metric space. We define the Housdorff metric space $CB(X)$ induced by d. then

$$H(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} d(A, y)\},$$

For all $A, B \in CB(X)$, where $CB(X)$ denotes the family of closed and bounded subset of X and $d(x, B) = \inf\{d(x, a): a \in B\}$, for all $x \in X$.

A Fuzzy set X is a function with domain X and values in $[0, 1]$, $F(X)$ is the collection of all fuzzy sets in X. If A is a fuzzy set and $x \in X$, then the function value $A(x)$ is called grade of membership of x in X. The α -level set of a fuzzy set A, is denoted by $[A]_\alpha$, and defined as:

$$[A]_\alpha = \{x: A(x) \geq \alpha\}, \text{ where } \alpha \in (0,1]$$

$$[A]_0 = \{x: A(x) > 0\},$$

Let X be any nonempty set and Y be a metric space. A mapping T is called a fuzzy mapping, if T is a mapping from X into $F(X)$. A fuzzy mapping T is a fuzzy subset on $X \times Y$ with membership function $T(x)(y)$. The function $T(x)(y)$ is the grade of membership of y in $T(x)$. For convenience, we denote the α -level set of $T(x)$ by $[Tx]_\alpha$ instead of $[T(x)]_\alpha$ [1].

Definition 2.5([1]) A point $x \in X$ is called α -fuzzy fixed point of a fuzzy mapping $T: X \rightarrow F(X)$ if there exist $\alpha \in (0,1]$ such that $x \in [Tx]_\alpha$.

Theorem: let (X, d) be a complete b-metric with constant $b \geq 1$ and $T: X \rightarrow F(X)$ be a Fuzzy mapping and for $x \in X, \alpha(x) \in (0,1]$ if satisfying the conditions:

$$H([Tx]_{\alpha(x)}, [Ty]_{\alpha(y)}) \leq a_1 d(x, [Tx]_{\alpha(x)}) + a_2 d(y, [Ty]_{\alpha(y)}) + a_3 d(x, [Ty]_{\alpha(y)}) \\ + a_4 d(y, [Tx]_{\alpha(x)}) + a_5 d(x, y) + a_6 \left[\frac{d(x, [Tx]_{\alpha(x)}) + (1 + d(x, [Tx]_{\alpha(x)}))}{1 + d(x, y)} \right]$$

For all $x, y \in X$ and $a_i \geq 0, i = 1,2,3..$ with $(ba_1 + a_2 + b(b + 1)a_3 + b(a_5 + a_6) < 1$

And $\sum_{i=1}^6 a_i < 1$

Then T has a fixed point.

Now we present our main theorems with new rational contractive conditions.

III. MAIN THEOREM

Theorem (3.1) let (X, d) be a complete b-metric with constant $s \geq 1$ and $U: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a Multi valued generalized Fuzzy mapping and $x \in X, \alpha(x) \in (0,1]$ if satisfying the conditions:

$$H([Ux]_{\alpha(x)}, [Uy]_{\alpha(y)}) \leq \alpha_1 d(x, y) + \alpha_2 d(x, [Uy]_{\alpha(y)}) + \alpha_3 d(y, [Uy]_{\alpha(y)}) \\ + \alpha_4 \min\{d(x, [Ux]_{\alpha(x)}), d(y, [Ux]_{\alpha(x)})\} + \alpha_5 \left[\frac{d(y, [Ux]_{\alpha(x)}) + d(y, [Uy]_{\alpha(y)})}{1 + d(y, [Ux]_{\alpha(x)}) \cdot d(y, [Uy]_{\alpha(y)})} \right] \\ + \alpha_6 d(x, [Ux]_{\alpha(x)}) \left[\frac{1 + d(x, [Ux]_{\alpha(x)}) + d(y, [Ux]_{\alpha(x)})}{1 + d(x, y)} \right]$$

For all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha_i \geq 0, i = 1,2,3.$ with $(\alpha_1 + 2s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) < 1$

And $\sum_{i=1}^6 \alpha_i < 1$

Then U have a α -Fuzzy fixed point.

Proof: Let x_0 be an arbitrary point of X and let $x_1 \in [Ux_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}$ then by lemma [2.7], we may choose $x_2 \in [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}$

such that $d(x_1, x_2) \leq H([Ux_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}) + (\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)$

Now ,

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq H([Ux_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}) + (\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)$$

Lemma 2.6 ([1]) Let A and B be a nonempty closed and bounded subsets of a metric space (X, d) , if $\alpha \in A$ then

$$d(a, B) \leq H(A, B).$$

Lemma 2.7 ([1]) Let A and B be a nonempty closed and bounded subsets of a metric space (X, d) , and $0 < \alpha \in R$ then for $a \in A$, there exist $b \in B$ such that $d(a, b) \leq H(A, B) + \epsilon$

In 2017, The following theorem were proved by A.Shahzad [12].

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \alpha_1 d(x_0, x_1) + \alpha_2 d(x_0, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}) + \alpha_3 d(x_1, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}) \\
 &+ \alpha_4 \min\{d(x_0, [Ux_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}), d(x_1, [Ux_0]_{\alpha(x_0)})\} + \alpha_5 \left[\frac{d(x_1, [Ux_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}) + d(x_1, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)})}{1 + d(x_1, [Ux_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}) \cdot d(x_1, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)})} \right] \\
 &\quad + \alpha_6 d(x_0, [Ux]_{\alpha(x_0)}) \left[\frac{1 + d(x_0, [Ux_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}) + d(x_1, [Ux_0]_{\alpha(x_0)})}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \right] + (\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6). \\
 &\leq \alpha_1 d(x_0, x_1) + \alpha_2 d(x_0, x_2) + \alpha_3 d(x_1, x_2) + \alpha_4 \min\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, x_1)\} + \alpha_5 \left[\frac{d(x_1, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)}{1 + d(x_1, x_1) \cdot d(x_1, x_2)} \right] \\
 &+ \alpha_6 d(x_0, x_1) \left[\frac{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \right] + (\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6) \\
 &\leq \alpha_1 d(x_0, x_1) + \alpha_2 d(x_0, x_2) + \alpha_3 d(x_1, x_2) + \alpha_5 d(x_1, x_2) + \alpha_6 d(x_0, x_1) \\
 &+ (\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6) \\
 &\leq \alpha_1 d(x_0, x_1) + \alpha_2 s [d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)] + \alpha_3 d(x_1, x_2) + \alpha_5 d(x_1, x_2) + \alpha_6 d(x_0, x_1) \\
 &+ (\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6) \\
 &[1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)] d(x_1, x_2) \leq (\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6) d(x_0, x_1) + (\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6) \\
 &d(x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)}{[(1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5))]} d(x_0, x_1) + \frac{(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)}{[(1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5))]}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly there exist

$$x_3 \in [Px_2]_{\alpha(x_2)} \text{ such that } d(x_2, x_3) \leq H([Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}, [Ux_2]_{\alpha(x_2)}) + \frac{(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)^2}{[(1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5))]}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_2, x_3) &\leq H([Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}, [Ux_2]_{\alpha(x_2)}) + \frac{(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)^2}{[(1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5))]} \\
 &\leq \alpha_1 d(x_1, x_2) + \alpha_2 d(x_1, [Ux_2]_{\alpha(x_2)}) + \alpha_3 d(x_2, [Ux_2]_{\alpha(x_2)}) \\
 &\quad + \alpha_4 \min\{d(x_1, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}), d(x_2, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)})\} + \alpha_5 \left[\frac{d(x_2, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}) + d(x_2, [Ux_2]_{\alpha(x_2)})}{1 + d(x_2, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}) \cdot d(x_2, [Ux_2]_{\alpha(x_2)})} \right] \\
 &\quad + \alpha_6 d(x_1, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}) \left[\frac{1 + d(x_1, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}) + d(x_2, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)})}{1 + d(x_1, x_2)} \right] + \frac{(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)^2}{[(1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5))]} \\
 &\leq \alpha_1 d(x_1, x_2) + \alpha_2 d(x_1, x_3) + \alpha_3 d(x_2, x_3) \\
 &\quad + \alpha_4 \min\{d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, x_2)\} + \alpha_5 \left[\frac{d(x_2, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3)}{1 + d(x_2, x_2) \cdot d(x_2, x_3)} \right] \\
 &\quad + \alpha_6 d(x_1, x_2) \left[\frac{1 + d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_2)}{1 + d(x_1, x_2)} \right] + \frac{(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)^2}{[(1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5))]} \\
 &\leq \alpha_1 d(x_1, x_2) + \alpha_2 s [d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3)] + \alpha_3 d(x_2, x_3) + \alpha_5 d(x_2, x_3) \\
 &+ \alpha_6 d(x_1, x_2) + \frac{(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)^2}{[(1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5))]}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$[1 - (s\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)] d(x_2, x_3) \leq (\alpha_1 + s\alpha_2 + \alpha_6)d(x_1, x_2) + \frac{(\alpha_1 + s\alpha_2 + \alpha_6)^2}{[(1 - (s\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5))]}$$

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq \frac{(\alpha_1 + s\alpha_2 + \alpha_6)}{[(1 - (s\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5))]} d(x_1, x_2) + \frac{(\alpha_1 + s\alpha_2 + \alpha_6)^2}{[(1 - (s\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5))^2]}$$

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq \left\{ \frac{(\alpha_1 + s\alpha_2 + \alpha_6)}{[(1 - (s\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5))]} \right\}^2 d(x_0, x_1) + 2 \left\{ \frac{(\alpha_1 + s\alpha_2 + \alpha_6)}{[(1 - (s\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5))]} \right\}$$

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq k^2 d(x_0, x_1) + 2k^2, \text{ where } k = \frac{(\alpha_1 + s\alpha_2 + \alpha_6)}{[(1 - (s\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5))]}$$

Continuing this process, we obtain a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq k^2 d(x_0, x_1) + 2k^2. \text{ then}$$

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k^n d(x_0, x_1) + nk^n$$

Let $u, v > 0$ with $v > u$

$$d(x_u, x_v) \leq s[d(x_u, x_{u+1}) + d(x_{u+1}, x_v)]$$

$$d(x_u, x_v) \leq sd(x_u, x_{u+1}) + s^2[d(x_{u+1}, x_{u+2}) + d(x_{u+2}, x_v)]$$

$$d(x_u, x_v) \leq s[k^u d(x_0, x_1) + uk^u] + s^2[k^{u+1} d(x_0, x_1) + (u + 1)k^{u+1}]$$

$$+ \dots + s^{v-u}[k^{v-1} d(x_0, x_1) + (v - 1)k^{v-1}]$$

$$d(x_u, x_v) \leq sk^u(1 + sk + \dots + s^{v-u}k^{v-u})d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{i=u}^{v-1} s^{i-u}ik^i$$

$$d(x_u, x_v) \leq \frac{sk^u}{1 - sk} d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{i=u}^{v-1} s^{i-u}ik^i$$

Since $sk < 1$ by Cauchy root test. $\sum_{i=u}^{v-1} s^{i-u}ik^i$ is convergent. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in X . Since (X, d) be a complete b-metric space the their exist $z \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) = 0$

.Now we prove z is a Common $\alpha - Fuzzy Fixed point of U$. we have

$$d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) \leq s[d(z, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)})]$$

$$d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) \leq sd((z, x_{n+1}) + sH([Ux_n]_{\alpha(x_n)}, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}))$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) &\leq sd(z, x_{n+1}) \\ &+ s \left\{ \alpha_1 d(x_n, z) + \alpha_2 d(x_n, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) + \alpha_3 d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) \right. \\ &+ \alpha_4 \min\{d(x_n, [Ux_n]_{\alpha(x_n)}), d(z, [Ux_n]_{\alpha(x_n)})\} + \alpha_5 \left[\frac{d(z, [Ux_n]_{\alpha(x_n)}) + d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)})}{1 + d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) \cdot d(z, [Ux_n]_{\alpha(x_n)})} \right] \\ &\left. + \alpha_6 [d(x_n, [Ux_n]_{\alpha(x_n)}) \left[\frac{1 + d(x_n, [Ux_n]_{\alpha(x_n)}) + d(z, [Ux_n]_{\alpha(x_n)})}{(1 + d(x_n, z))} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ then we get

$$d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) \leq sd(z, z) + s \left\{ \alpha_1 d(z, z) + \alpha_2 d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) + \alpha_3 d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) + \alpha_4 \min\{d(z, z), d(z, z)\} + \alpha_5 \left\{ \frac{d(z, z) + d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)})}{1 + d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) \cdot d(z, z)} \right\} + \alpha_6 d(z, z) \left\{ \frac{1 + d(z, z) + d(z, z)}{1 + d(z, z)} \right\} \right\}$$

$$d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) \leq s[\alpha_2 d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) + \alpha_3 d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) + \alpha_5 d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)})]$$

$$d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) \leq s(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)})$$

$$[1 - s(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)]d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) \leq 0$$

Which is a Contradiction then we have $d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) = 0$, thus $z = [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}$

Hence z is a $\alpha - fuzzy$ fixed point of U .

Theorem (3.2) let (X, d) be a complete b-metric with constant $s \geq 1$ and $U, P: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a Two Multi valued generalized fuzzy mapping and $x \in X, \alpha_U(x), \alpha_P(y) \in (0, 1]$ if satisfying the conditions:

$$H([Ux]_{\alpha_U(x)}, [Py]_{\alpha_P(y)}) \leq \alpha_1 d(x, y) + \alpha_2 d(x, [Py]_{\alpha_P(y)}) + \alpha_3 d(y, [Py]_{\alpha_P(y)}) + \alpha_4 \min\{d(x, [Ux]_{\alpha_U(x)}), d(y, [Ux]_{\alpha_U(x)})\} + \alpha_5 \left[\frac{d(y, [Ux]_{\alpha_U(x)}) + d(y, [Py]_{\alpha_P(y)})}{1 + d(y, [Ux]_{\alpha_U(x)}) \cdot d(y, [Py]_{\alpha_P(y)})} \right] + \alpha_6 d(x, [Ux]_{\alpha_U(x)}) \left[\frac{1 + d(x, [Ux]_{\alpha_U(x)}) + d(y, [Ux]_{\alpha_U(x)})}{1 + d(x, y)} \right]$$

For all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, 3$. with $(\alpha_1 + 2s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) < 1$

And $\sum_{i=1}^6 \alpha_i < 1$

Then U and P have Common $\alpha - Fuzzy$ fixed point.

Proof: Let x_0 be an arbitrary point of X and let $x_1 \in [Ux_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}$ then by lemma [2.7], we may choose $x_2 \in [Px_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}$

such that $d(x_1, x_2) \leq H([Ux_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}, [Px_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}) + (\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)$

Now ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_1, x_2) &\leq H([Ux_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}, [Px_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}) + (\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_4) \\ &\leq \alpha_1 d(x_0, x_1) + \alpha_2 d(x_0, [Px_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}) + \alpha_3 d(x_1, [Px_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}) \\ &+ \alpha_4 \min\{d(x_0, [Ux_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}), d(x_1, [Ux_0]_{\alpha(x_0)})\} + \alpha_5 \left[\frac{d(x_1, [Ux_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}) + d(x_1, [Px_1]_{\alpha(x_1)})}{1 + d(x_1, [Ux_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}) \cdot d(x_1, [Px_1]_{\alpha(x_1)})} \right] \\ &+ \alpha_6 d(x_0, [Ux_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}) \left[\frac{1 + d(x_0, [Ux_0]_{\alpha(x_0)}) + d(x_1, [Ux_0]_{\alpha(x_0)})}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \right] \\ &+ (\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6). \\ &\leq \alpha_1 d(x_0, x_1) + \alpha_2 d(x_0, x_2) + \alpha_3 d(x_1, x_2) + \alpha_4 \min\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, x_1)\} + \alpha_5 \left[\frac{d(x_1, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)}{1 + d(x_1, x_1) \cdot d(x_1, x_2)} \right] \\ &+ \alpha_6 d(x_0, x_1) \left[\frac{1 + d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_1)}{1 + d(x_0, x_1)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$+(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6).$$

$$\leq \alpha_1 d(x_0, x_1) + \alpha_2 d(x_0, x_2) + \alpha_3 d(x_1, x_2) + \alpha_5 d(x_1, x_2) + \alpha_6 d(x_0, x_1)$$

$$+(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6).$$

$$\leq \alpha_1 d(x_0, x_1) + \alpha_2 s[d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)] + \alpha_3 d(x_1, x_2) + \alpha_5 d(x_1, x_2) + \alpha_6 d(x_0, x_1)$$

$$+(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6).$$

$$[1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)] d(x_1, x_2) \leq (\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)d(x_0, x_1) + (\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)$$

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)}{[1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)]} d(x_0, x_1) + \frac{(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)}{[1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)]}$$

Similarly there exist

$$x_3 \in [Px_2]_{\alpha(x_2)} \text{ such that } d(x_2, x_3) \leq H([Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}, [Px_2]_{\alpha(x_2)}) + \frac{(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)^2}{[1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)]}$$

Now,

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq H([Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}, [Px_2]_{\alpha(x_2)}) + \frac{(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)^2}{[1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)]}$$

$$\leq \alpha_1 d(x_1, x_2) + \alpha_2 d(x_1, [Px_2]_{\alpha(x_2)}) + \alpha_3 d(x_2, [Px_2]_{\alpha(x_2)})$$

$$+ \alpha_4 \min\{d(x_1, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}), d(x_2, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)})\} + \alpha_5 \left[\frac{d(x_2, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}) + d(x_2, [Px_2]_{\alpha(x_2)})}{1 + d(x_2, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}) \cdot d(x_2, [Px_2]_{\alpha(x_2)})} \right]$$

$$+ \alpha_6 d(x_1, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}) \left[\frac{1 + d(x_1, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)}) + d(x_2, [Ux_1]_{\alpha(x_1)})}{1 + d(x_1, x_2)} \right] + \frac{(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)^2}{[1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)]}$$

$$\leq \alpha_1 d(x_1, x_2) + \alpha_2 d(x_1, x_3) + \alpha_3 d(x_2, x_3)$$

$$+ \alpha_4 \min\{d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, x_2)\} + \alpha_5 \left[\frac{d(x_2, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3)}{1 + d(x_2, x_2) \cdot d(x_2, x_3)} \right]$$

$$+ \alpha_6 d(x_1, x_2) \left[\frac{1 + d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_2)}{1 + d(x_1, x_2)} \right] + \frac{(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)^2}{[1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)]}$$

$$\leq \alpha_1 d(x_1, x_2) + \alpha_2 s[d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3)] + \alpha_3 d(x_2, x_3) + \alpha_5 d(x_2, x_3)$$

$$+ \alpha_6 d(x_1, x_2) + \frac{(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)^2}{[1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)]}$$

$$[1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)] d(x_2, x_3) \leq (\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)d(x_1, x_2) + \frac{(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)^2}{[1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)]}$$

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq \frac{(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)}{[1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)]} d(x_1, x_2) + \frac{(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)^2}{[1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)]^2}$$

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq \left\{ \frac{(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)}{[1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)]} \right\}^2 d(x_0, x_1) + 2 \left\{ \frac{(\alpha_1 + s \alpha_2 + \alpha_6)}{[1 - (s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)]} \right\}^2$$

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq k^2 d(x_0, x_1) + 2k^2, \text{ where } k = \frac{(\alpha_1 + s\alpha_2 + \alpha_6)}{[1 - (s\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)]}$$

Continuing this process, we obtain a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq k^2 d(x_0, x_1) + 2k^2. \text{ then}$$

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k^n d(x_0, x_1) + nk^n$$

Let $u, v > 0$ with $v > u$

$$d(x_u, x_v) \leq s[d(x_u, x_{u+1}) + d(x_{u+1}, x_v)]$$

$$d(x_u, x_v) \leq sd(x_u, x_{u+1}) + s^2[d(x_{u+1}, x_{u+2}) + d(x_{u+2}, x_v)]$$

$$d(x_u, x_v) \leq s[k^u d(x_0, x_1) + uk^u] + s^2[k^{u+1} d(x_0, x_1) + (u+1)k^{u+1}]$$

$$+ \dots + s^{v-u}[k^{v-1} d(x_0, x_1) + (v-1)k^{v-1}]$$

$$d(x_u, x_v) \leq sk^u(1 + sk + \dots + s^{v-u}k^{v-u})d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{i=u}^{v-1} s^{i-u}ik^i$$

$$d(x_u, x_v) \leq \frac{sk^u}{1-sk} d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{i=u}^{v-1} s^{i-u}ik^i$$

Since $sk < 1$ by Cauchy root test. $\sum_{i=u}^{v-1} s^{i-u}ik^i$ is convergent. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in X . Since (X, d) be a complete b-metric space the their exist $z \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) = 0$

.Now we prove z is a Common α – Fuzzy Fixed point of U . we have

$$d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) \leq s[d(z, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)})]$$

$$d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) \leq sd((z, x_{2n+1}) + sH([Ux_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}))$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) &\leq sd(z, x_{2n+1}) \\ &+ s \left\{ \alpha_1 d(x_{2n}, z) + \alpha_2 d(x_{2n}, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) + \alpha_3 d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) \right. \\ &+ \alpha_4 \min\{d(x_{2n}, [Ux_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}), d(z, [Ux_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})})\} + \alpha_5 \left[\frac{d(z, [Ux_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}) + d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)})}{1 + d(z, [Ux_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}) \cdot d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)})} \right] \\ &\left. + \alpha_6 d(x_{2n}, [Ux_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}) \left[\frac{1 + d(x_{2n}, [Ux_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}) + d(z, [Ux_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, z)} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ then we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) &\leq sd(z, z) \\ &+ s \left\{ \alpha_1 d(z, z) + \alpha_2 d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) + \alpha_3 d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) + \alpha_4 \min\{d(z, z), d(z, z)\} \right. \\ &\left. + \alpha_5 \left[\frac{d(z, z) + d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)})}{1 + d(z, z) \cdot d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)})} \right] + \alpha_6 d(z, z) \left[\frac{1 + d(z, z) + d(z, z)}{1 + d(z, z)} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) \leq s[\alpha_2 d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) + \alpha_3 d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) + \alpha_5 d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)})]$$

$$d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) \leq s(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)})$$

$$[1 - s(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)]d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) \leq 0$$

Which is a Contradiction then we have $d(z, [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}) = 0$, thus $z = [Uz]_{\alpha(z)}$

$$d(z, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)}) \leq s[d(z, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)})]$$

$$d(z, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)}) \leq sd((z, x_{2n+1}) + sH([Px_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)}))$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)}) &\leq sd(z, x_{2n+1}) \\ &+ s \left\{ \alpha_1 d(x_{2n}, z) + \alpha_2 d(x_{2n}, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)}) + \alpha_3 d(z, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)}) \right. \\ &+ \alpha_4 \min[d(x_{2n}, [Px_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}), d(z, [Px_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})})] + \alpha_5 \left[\frac{d(z, [Px_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}) + d(z, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)})}{1 + d(z, [Px_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}) \cdot d(z, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)})} \right] \\ &\left. + \alpha_6 d(x_{2n}, [Px_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}) \left[\frac{1 + d(x_{2n}, [Px_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})}) + d(z, [Px_{2n}]_{\alpha(x_{2n})})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, z)} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ then we get

$$d(z, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)}) \leq sd(z, z) + s \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\alpha_1 d(z, z) + \alpha_2 d(z, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)}) + \alpha_3 d(z, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)}) \\ &+ \alpha_4 \min[d(z, z), d(z, z)] + \alpha_5 \left[\frac{d(z, z) + d(z, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)})}{1 + d(z, z) \cdot d(z, z)} \right] \\ &+ \alpha_6 d(z, z) \left[\frac{1 + d(z, z) + d(z, z)}{1 + d(z, z)} \right] \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$d(z, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)}) \leq s\{\alpha_2 d(z, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)}) + \alpha_3 d(z, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)}) + \alpha_5 d(z, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)})\}$$

$$d(z, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)}) \leq s(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)d(z, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)})$$

$$[1 - s(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)]d(z, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)}) \leq 0$$

Which is a Contradiction Then we have $d(z, [Pz]_{\alpha(z)}) = 0$, thus $z = [Pz]_{\alpha(z)}$

Hence z is a Common α – fuzzy fixed point of U and P .

Theorem (3.3) let (X, d) be a complete b-metric with constant $s \geq 1$ and $U: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a Multi valued generalized Fuzzy mapping and $x \in X, \alpha(x) \in (0,1]$ if satisfying the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} H([Ux]_{\alpha(x)}, [Uy]_{\alpha(y)}) &\leq \alpha_1 d(x, y) + \alpha_2 d(x, [Uy]_{\alpha(y)}) + \alpha_3 d(y, [Uy]_{\alpha(y)}) \\ &+ \alpha_4 d(x, [Ux]_{\alpha(x)}) + \alpha_5 d(x, [Ux]_{\alpha(x)}) \left[\frac{1 + d(x, [Ux]_{\alpha(x)}) + d(y, [Ux]_{\alpha(x)})}{1 + d(x, y)} \right] \\ &+ \alpha_6 \left[\frac{d(y, [Ux]_{\alpha(x)}) + d(y, [Uy]_{\alpha(y)})}{1 + d(y, [Ux]_{\alpha(x)}) \cdot d(y, [Uy]_{\alpha(y)})} \right] \end{aligned}$$

For all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha_i \geq 0, i = 1,2,3..$ with $(\alpha_1 + 2s \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) < 1$

And $\sum_{i=1}^6 \alpha_i < 1$

Then U have a α – Fuzzy fixed point.

Proof: Similar proof as theorem (3.1)

Example: Let $X = [0,1]$ and $U(x, y) = |x - y| \forall x, y \in X$, then (X, d) be a complete b-metric space.

Define a fuzzy mapping $U: X \rightarrow F(X)$ by $U(x)(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{x}{8} \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \frac{x}{8} < t \leq \frac{x}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \frac{x}{4} < t \leq \frac{x}{2} \\ 0, & \frac{x}{2} < t \leq 1 \end{cases}$

For all $x \in X$, there exists $\alpha(x) = 1$, such that $[Ux]_{\alpha(x)} = [0, \frac{x}{8}]$. then

$$H([Tx]_{\alpha(x)}, [Ty]_{\alpha(y)}) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left| \left(x - \frac{x}{8}\right) \right| + \frac{1}{8} \left| \left(y - \frac{y}{8}\right) \right| + \frac{1}{16} \left| \left(x - \frac{y}{8}\right) \right| + \frac{1}{32} \left| \left(y - \frac{x}{8}\right) \right| + \frac{1}{64} \left| (x - y) \right| + \frac{1}{128} \left[\frac{|x-x/4| + (1+|x-x/4|)}{1+|x-y|} \right]$$

Therefore, $0 \in X$ is the fixed point of U .

IV. CONCLUSION

By using b-metric space, many authors have fixed point results for self-mapping. In this paper by using b-metric space we prove the existence and uniqueness of fixed-point results for Multivalued fuzzy mapping. Our result extent and generalized the result of A. Shahzad with new rational expression.

V. REFERENCES

[1] S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrals, Fundam. Math 3, 133-181 ,(1922)

[2] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Information and control, 8, (1965), 338-353.

[3] S.B. Nadler, Multi-valued contraction mapping, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 30 (1969), 475-488.

[4] S. Heilpern, Fuzzy Mapping and fixed point theorems, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 83(2), (1981), 566-569.

[5] S. Czerwik ,Contraction mapping in b- metric spaces, Acta Math Inform Univ Ostraviensis 1:5-11 (1993).

[6] S. Czerwik, Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Atti Semin Math Fis Univ Modena 46(2) 263-276 ,(1998).

[7] M. Boriceanu, Fixed Pont Theory for Multi-valued generalized contraction on a set with two b-metric space , Stud. Univ., Babes-Bolyai Math. Liv(3), (2009), 1-14.

[8] A. Azam, Fuzzy Fixed Points of Fuzzy Mapping via a Rational Inequality, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 40 (3) (2011), 421-431.

[9] H. Aydi et.al, A Fixed Point Theorem for set valued quasi-contractions in b-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 88 (2012).

[10] J. Maria Joseph, E. Ramaganesh, Fixed point Theorem on Multi-valued Mapping, International Journal of Analysis and applications, vol-1, No.2, (2013), 123-127.

[11] J. Maria Joseph, D. Roselin and M. Marudai, Fixed Point Theorem on Multi-valued Mappings in b-metric spaces, Springer Plus, 5:217 (2016)

[12] A. Shahzad et.al, Common Fixed Point Theorems for Fuzzy Mapping in b-metric space, Italian Journal of Pure and App.Math., 38 (2017), 419-427.

[13] C. Jinakul et. al, Common Fixed Point Theorems for Multi-valued Mapping on b-metric spaces, International Journal of Pure and App.Math., vol-113, No.1, (2017), 167-179.